arXiv:1604.01229v3 [math.FA] 25 Sep 2016

MATRIX PARAMETERIZED PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL CALCULI ON MODULATION SPACES

JOACHIM TOFT

Abstract. We consider a broad matrix parameterized family of pseudo-differential calculi, containing the usual Shubin's family of pseudo-differential calculi, parameterized by real numbers. We show that continuity properties in the framework of modulation space theory, valid for the Shubin's family extend to the broader matrix parameterized family of pseudo-differential calculi.

0. Introduction

A pseudo-differential calculus on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is a rule which takes any appropriate function or distribution, defined on the phase space $T^*\mathbf{R}^d \asymp \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ into a set of linear operators acting on suitable functions or distributions defined on $\mathbf{R}^d$. There are several other situations with similar approaches. For example, a main issue in quantum mechanics concerns quantization, where observables in classical mechanics (which are functions or distributions on the phase space) carry over to corresponding observables in quantum mechanics (which usually are linear operators on subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$). A somewhat similar situations can be found in time-frequency analysis. Here the phase space corresponds to the time-frequency shift space, and the filter parameters for (non-stationary filters) are suitable functions or distributions on the time-frequency shift space, while the corresponding filters are linear operators acting on signals (which are functions or distributions, depending on the time).

A common family of pseudo-differential calculi concerns $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_t(a)$, parameterized by $t \in \mathbf{R}$. If $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Op}_t(a)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} a(x - t(x-y), \xi) f(y) e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dyd\xi,$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. e. g. [28]).

In the paper we consider as in [3] a slightly larger family of pseudo-differential calculi, compared to the situations above, which are parameterized by matrices instead of the real number t. More precisely, if

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35S05, 47B10, 46F99, 47B37, 44A35; Secondary 42B35, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. matrix-parameterized calculi, ultra-distributions, Beurling, Schatten-von Neumann, modulation spaces, quantization.

$a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and A is a real $d \times d$ matrix, then the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} a(x - A(x-y), \xi) f(y) e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dyd\xi,$$

when $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. e. g. [28]). We note that $\operatorname{Op}_A(a) = \operatorname{Op}_t(a)$ when $A = t \cdot I$, where I is the $d \times d$ identity matrix. On the other hand, in [1], D. Bayer consider a more general situation, where each pseudo-differential calculus is parameterized by four matrices instead of one.

The definition of $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ extends in several directions. In Section 2 we discuss such extensions within the theory of modulation spaces. That is, we deduce continuity for such operators between different modulation spaces, when a belongs to (other) modulation spaces. Similar analysis and results can be found in e. g. [17, 19, 20, 30, 32, 35, 38] in the more restricted case $A = t \cdot I$, and we emphasize that all results are obtained by using the framework of these earlier contributions. Furthermore, some results here are in some cases contained in certain results in Chapters 1 and 2 in [1].

In Section 3 we also give examples on how these operators might be used in quantization, by taking the average of $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ with $A = \frac{1}{2} \cdot I + r \cdot U$, over all $r \in [0, 1]$ and unitary matrices U with real entries.

Acknowledgement. I am very grateful to Ville Turunen for careful reading and important advices, leading to several improvements of the original paper.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notations and discuss basic results. We start by recalling some facts concerning Gelfand-Shilov spaces. Thereafter we recall some properties about pseudo-differential operators. Especially we discuss the Weyl product and twisted convolution. Finally we recall some facts about modulation spaces. The proofs are in general omitted, since the results can be found in the literature.

We start by considering Gelfand-Shilov spaces. Let $0 < h, s \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed. Then $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s,h}} \equiv \sup \frac{|x^\beta \partial^\alpha f(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \alpha!^s\, \beta!^s}$$

is finite. Here the supremum should be taken over all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Obviously $\mathcal{S}_{s,h} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{S}$ is a Banach space which increases with h and s. Here and in what follows we use the notation $A \hookrightarrow B$ when the topological spaces A and B satisfy $A \subseteq B$ with continuous embeddings. Furthermore, if $s > 1/2$, or $s = 1/2$ and h is sufficiently large, then $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}$ contains all finite linear combinations of Hermite functions. Since such

linear combinations are dense in $\mathscr{S}$, it follows that the dual $(\mathcal{S}_{s,h})'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Banach space which contains $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The *Gelfand-Shilov spaces* $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are the inductive and projective limits respectively of $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d), \tag{1.1}$$

and that the topology for $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the strongest possible one such that the inclusion map from $\mathcal{S}_{s,h}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is continuous, for every choice of $h > 0$. The space $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Fréchet space with semi norms $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{S}_{s,h}}$, $h > 0$. Moreover, $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq \{0\}$, if and only if $s > 1/2$, and $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $s \geq 1/2$ (cf. [16, 24, 26]).

The *Gelfand-Shilov distribution spaces* $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are the projective and inductive limit respectively of $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. This means that

$$\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \mathcal{S}_{s,h}'(\mathbf{R}^d). \tag{1.1$'$}$$

We remark that in [24,26] it is proved that $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the dual of $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and $\Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the dual of $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (also in topological sense).

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s > 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow &\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{s+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^d) \\ \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{s+\varepsilon}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow &\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{1/2}'(\mathbf{R}^d). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

The Gelfand-Shilov spaces are invariant under several basic transformations. For example they are invariant under translations, dilations and under (partial) Fourier transformations. We also note that the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 \otimes f_2$ is continuous from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$, and similarly when each $\mathcal{S}_s$ are replaced by Σ_s, $\mathcal{S}_s'$ or by Σ_s'.

We let $\mathscr{F}$ be the Fourier transform which takes the form

$$(\mathscr{F}f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, dx$$

when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The map $\mathscr{F}$ extends uniquely to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and restricts to homeomorphisms on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

1.1. **An extended family of pseudo-differential calculi.** Next we discuss some issues in pseudo-differential calculus. Let $\mathbf{M}(d, \Omega)$ be the set of all $d \times d$-matrices with entries in the set Ω, and let $s \geq 1/2$, $a \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$ be fixed. Then the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is the linear and continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, given by

$$(\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a(x - A(x-y), \xi) f(y) e^{i\langle x-y,\xi\rangle}\, dy d\xi, \tag{1.3}$$

when $f \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For general $a \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the pseudo-differential operator $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is defined as the linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with distribution kernel given by

$$K_{a,A}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(\mathscr{F}_2^{-1}a)(x - A(x-y), x-y). \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\mathscr{F}_2 F$ is the partial Fourier transform of $F(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with respect to the y variable. This definition makes sense, since the mappings

$$\mathscr{F}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad F(x,y) \mapsto F(x - A(x-y), x-y) \tag{1.5}$$

are homeomorphisms on $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. In particular, the map $a \mapsto K_{a,A}$ is a homeomorphism on $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

An important special case appears when $A = t \cdot I$, with $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Here and in what follows, $I \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$ denotes the $d \times d$ identity matrix. In this case we set

$$\operatorname{Op}_t(a) = \operatorname{Op}_{t\cdot I}(a).$$

The normal or Kohn-Nirenberg representation, $a(x,D)$, is obtained when $t = 0$, and the Weyl quantization, $\operatorname{Op}^w(a)$, is obtained when $t = \frac{1}{2}$. That is,

$$a(x,D) = \operatorname{Op}_0(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Op}^w(a) = \operatorname{Op}_{1/2}(a).$$

For any $K \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})$, we let T_K be the linear and continuous mapping from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, defined by the formula

$$(T_K f, g)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})} = (K, g \otimes \overline{f})_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_2})}. \tag{1.6}$$

It is well-known that if $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, then it follows from Schwartz kernel theorem that $K \mapsto T_K$ and $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ are bijective mappings from $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to the set of linear and continuous mappings from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. e. g. [23]).

Furthermore, by e. g. [25, Theorem 2.2] it follows that the same holds true if each $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}'$ are replaced by $\mathcal{S}_s$ and $\mathcal{S}_s'$, respectively, or by Σ_s and Σ_s', respectively.

In particular, for every $a_1 \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, there is a unique $a_2 \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\operatorname{Op}_{A_1}(a_1) = \operatorname{Op}_{A_2}(a_2)$. The following result explains the relations between a_1 and a_2.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Op}_{A_1}(a_1) = \operatorname{Op}_{A_2}(a_2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e^{i\langle A_2 D_\xi, D_x\rangle} a_2(x,\xi) = e^{i\langle A_1 D_\xi, D_x\rangle} a_1(x,\xi). \tag{1.7}$$

Note here that the latter equality in (1.7) makes sense since it is equivalent to

$$e^{i\langle A_2 x, \xi\rangle}\widehat{a}_2(\xi, x) = e^{i\langle A_1 x, \xi\rangle}\widehat{a}_1(\xi, x),$$

and that the map $a \mapsto e^{i\langle Ax,\xi\rangle}a$ is continuous on $\mathcal{S}_s'$ (cf. e. g. [3, 40]).

Passages between different kinds of pseudo-differential calculi have been considered before (Cf. e. g. [23, 40].) On the other hand, except

for [3], it seems that the representation $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ for general matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, has not been considered in the literature before.

A proof of Proposition 1.1 for matrices of the form $A = t \cdot I$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, can be found in e. g. [28], and the proof of the result for general A follows by similar arguments. In order to be self-contained we here present the arguments. Here and in what follows, A^* denotes the transpose of the matrix A.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. It is no restriction to assume that $A_2 = 0$. Let $a = a_1$, $b = a_2$ and $A = A_1$. We also prove the result only in the case $a, b \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. The general case follows by similar arguments and is left for the reader.

The equality $\operatorname{Op}_A(a) = \operatorname{Op}(b)$ is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{F}(b(x, \cdot))(y - x) = \mathscr{F}(a(x - A(x - y), \cdot))(y - x) \qquad &\Leftrightarrow \\
\int b(x, \eta) e^{i\langle y, \eta\rangle}\, d\eta = \int a(x - Ay, \eta) e^{i\langle y, \eta\rangle}\, d\eta \qquad &\Leftrightarrow \\
b(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a(x - Ay, \eta) e^{i\langle y, \eta - \xi\rangle}\, dy d\eta &
\end{aligned}$$

By Fourier's inversion formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
b(x, \xi) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a(x - Ay, \eta) e^{i\langle y, \eta - \xi\rangle}\, dy d\eta \\
&= (2\pi)^{-2d} \iiiint \widehat{a}(\eta_1, y_1) e^{i(\langle x - Ay, \eta_1\rangle + \langle y_1, \eta\rangle + \langle y, \eta - \xi\rangle)}\, dy_1 d\eta_1 dy d\eta \\
&= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint \widehat{a}(\eta_1, y_1) e^{i(\langle x, \eta_1\rangle + \langle y_1, \xi + A^*\eta_1\rangle)}\, dy_1 d\eta_1 \\
&= e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle} a(x, \xi),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. □

Let $a \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be fixed. Then a is called a rank-one element with respect to $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, if $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is an operator of rank-one, i. e.

$$\operatorname{Op}_A(a) f = (f, f_2) f_1, \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \tag{1.8}$$

for some $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By straight-forward computations it follows that (1.8) is fulfilled if and only if $a = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} W^A_{f_1, f_2}$, where $W^A_{f_1, f_2}$ is the A-Wigner distribution, defined by the formula

$$W^A_{f_1, f_2}(x, \xi) \equiv \mathscr{F}\big(f_1(x + A\cdot)\overline{f_2(x + (A - I)\cdot)}\big)(\xi), \tag{1.9}$$

which takes the form

$$W^A_{f_1, f_2}(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int f_1(x + Ay)\overline{f_2(x + (A - I)y)} e^{-i\langle y, \xi\rangle}\, dy,$$

when $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By combining these facts with (1.7), it follows that

$$e^{i\langle A_2 D_\xi, D_x\rangle} W^{A_2}_{f_1,f_2} = e^{i\langle A_1 D_\xi, D_x\rangle} W^{A_1}_{f_1,f_2}, \tag{1.10}$$

for every $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$. Since the Weyl case is particularly important, we set $W^A_{f_1,f_2} = W_{f_1,f_2}$ when $A = \frac{1}{2}I$, i. e. W_{f_1,f_2} is the usual (cross-)Wigner distribution of f_1 and f_2.

For future references we note the link

$$(\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f, g)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{-d/2}(a, W^A_{g,f})_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})},$$
$$a \in \mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \quad \text{and} \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \tag{1.11}$$

between pseudo-differential operators and Wigner distributions, which follows by straight-forward computations (see also e. g. [8, 9]).

For any $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, the A-product, $a\#_A b$ between $a \in \mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $b \in \mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{Op}_A(a\#_A b) = \operatorname{Op}_A(a) \circ \operatorname{Op}_A(b), \tag{1.12}$$

provided the right-hand side makes sense as a continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

1.2. **Modulation spaces.** Next we discuss basic properties for modulation spaces, and start by recalling the conditions for the involved weight functions. A function ω on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is called a *weight* (on $\mathbf{R}^d$), if $\omega > 0$ and $\omega, \omega^{-1} \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Let ω and v be weights on $\mathbf{R}^d$. Then ω is called *moderate* or *v-moderate* if

$$\omega(x + y) \lesssim \omega(x)v(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{1.13}$$

Here and in what follows we write $A \lesssim B$ when $A, B \geq 0$ and $A \leq cB$ for a suitable constant $c > 0$. We also let $A \asymp B$ when $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. The weight v is called *submultiplicative*, if v is even and (1.13) holds when $\omega = v$. We note that if (1.13) holds, then

$$v(-x)^{-1} \lesssim \omega(x) \lesssim v(x).$$

Furthermore, for such ω it follows that (1.13) is true when

$$v(x) = Ce^{c|x|},$$

for some positive constants c and C (cf. e. g. [18]). In particular, if ω is moderate on $\mathbf{R}^d$, then

$$e^{-c|x|} \lesssim \omega(x) \lesssim e^{c|x|},$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

The set of all moderate functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is denoted by $\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Furthermore, if v in (1.13) can be chosen as a polynomial, then ω is called a weight of polynomial type, or polynomially moderated. We let $\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all polynomially moderated weights on $\mathbf{R}^d$. If $\omega(x, \xi) \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is constant with respect to the x-variable (ξ-variable), then we sometimes write $\omega(\xi)$ ($\omega(x)$) instead of $\omega(x, \xi)$. In

this case we consider ω as an element in $\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ or in $\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^d)$ depending on the situation.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be fixed. Then the *short-time Fourier transform* $V_\phi f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respect to the *window function* ϕ is the Gelfand-Shilov distribution on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, defined by

$$V_\phi f(x,\xi) \equiv (\mathscr{F}_2(U(f \otimes \phi)))(x,\xi) = \mathscr{F}(f\,\overline{\phi(\,\cdot\, - x)})(\xi),$$

where $(UF)(x,y) = F(y, y-x)$. If $f, \phi \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then it follows that

$$V_\phi f(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int f(y)\overline{\phi(y-x)}e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}\,dy.$$

We recall that the short-time Fourier transform is closely related to the Wigner distribution, because

$$W_{f,\phi}(x,\xi) = 2^d e^{2i\langle x,\xi\rangle} V_{\check{\phi}} f(2x, 2\xi), \tag{1.14}$$

which follows by elementary manipulations. Here $\check{\phi}(x) = \phi(-x)$.

Let $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $p,q \in (0,\infty]$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ be fixed. Then the mixed Lebesgue space $L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ consists of all measurable functions F on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ such that $\|F\|_{L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} < \infty$. Here

$$\|F\|_{L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \equiv \|F_{p,\omega}\|_{L^q}, \quad \text{where} \quad F_{p,\omega}(\xi) \equiv \|F(\,\cdot\,,\xi)\omega(\,\cdot\,,\xi)\|_{L^p}. \tag{1.15}$$

We note that these quasi-norms might attain $+\infty$.

The *modulation space* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the quasi-Banach space which consist of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \equiv \|V_\phi f\|_{L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}. \tag{1.16}$$

We remark that the definition of $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of the choice of $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and different ϕ gives rise to equivalent quasi-norms. (See Proposition 1.2 below).

For convenience we set $M^p_{(\omega)} = M^{p,p}_{(\omega)}$. Furthermore we set $M^{p,q} = M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ when $\omega \equiv 1$.

The proof of the following proposition is omitted, since the results can be found in [4, 10–15, 17, 32–35, 37, 39]. Here we recall that $p, p' \in [1,\infty]$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $p, q, p_j, q_j \in (0,\infty]$ for $j = 1, 2$, $r \le \min(p, q, 1)$, and $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, v \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that v is submultiplicative, ω is v-moderate and $\omega_2 \lesssim \omega_1$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *$f \in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if and only if (1.16) holds for any $\phi \in M^r_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$. Moreover, $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ is a quasi-Banach space under the quasi-norm in (1.16) and different choices of ϕ give rise to equivalent quasi-norms. Furthermore, if $p, q \ge 1$, then $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ is a Banach space;*

(2) *if* $p_1 \le p_2$ *and* $q_1 \le q_2$ *then*

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d);$$

(3) *if in addition* $p, q \ge 1$, *then the* L^2 *product* $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_{L^2}$ *on* $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *extends uniquely to a continuous map from* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *to* $\mathbf{C}$. *On the other hand, if* $\|a\| = \sup|(a,b)|$, *where the supremum is taken over all* $b \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *such that* $\|b\|_{M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}} \le 1$, *then* $\|\cdot\|$ *and* $\|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}$ *are equivalent norms;*

(4) *if* $1 \le p, q < \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *is dense in* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *and the dual space of* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *can be identified with* $M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, *through the* L^2*-form* $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_{L^2}$. *Moreover,* $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *is weakly dense in* $M^{p',q'}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ *with respect to the* L^2*-form.*

Proposition 1.2 (1) allows us be rather vague concerning the choice of $\phi \in M^r_{(v)} \setminus 0$ in (1.16). For example, if $C > 0$ is a constant and $\mathscr{A}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}$, then $\|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \le C$ for every $a \in \mathscr{A}$, means that the inequality holds for some choice of $\phi \in M^r_{(v)} \setminus 0$ and every $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Evidently, a similar inequality is true for any other choice of $\phi \in M^r_{(v)} \setminus 0$, with a suitable constant, larger than C if necessary.

Remark 1.3. By Theorem 3.9 in [37] and Proposition 1.2 (2) it follows that

$$\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E} M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d), \qquad \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E} M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

More generally, let $s \ge 1$, $v_c(y,\eta) = e^{c(|y|^{1/s}+|\eta|^{1/s})}$, and let $\mathcal{P}$ respectively $\mathcal{P}_0$ be the set of all $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$\omega(x+y,\xi+\eta) \lesssim \omega(x,\xi)v_c(y,\eta),$$

for some $c > 0$ respectively for every $c > 0$. Then

$$\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}} M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \qquad \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}} M^{p,q}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

$$\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0} M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \qquad \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0} M^{p,q}_{(1/\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

$$\Sigma_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p,q}_{(v_c)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p,q}_{(1/v_c)}(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_s'(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

(Cf. Proposition 4.5 in [6], Proposition 4. in [21], Corollary 5.2 in [27] or Theorem 4.1 in [31]. See also [37, Theorem 3.9] for an extension of these inclusions to broader classes of Gelfand-Shilov and modulation spaces.)

1.3. **Schatten-von Neumann classes.** Next we recall some properties on Schatten-von Neumann classes. Let $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and let T be a linear map from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$. For every integer $j \geq 1$, the *singular number* of T of order j is given by

$$\sigma_j(T) = \sigma_j(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2, T) \equiv \inf \|T - T_0\|_{\mathscr{H}_1 \to \mathscr{H}_2},$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear operators T_0 from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$ with rank at most $j-1$. Therefore, $\sigma_1(T)$ equals $\|T\|_{\mathscr{H}_1 \to \mathscr{H}_2}$, and $\sigma_j(T)$ is non-negative which decreases with j.

For any $p \in (0, \infty]$ we set

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p} = \|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)} \equiv \|\{\sigma_j(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2, T)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{l^p}$$

(which might attain $+\infty$). The operator T is called a *Schatten-von Neumann operator* of order p from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$, if $\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p}$ is finite, i. e. $\{\sigma_j(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2, T)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ should belong to l^p. The set of all Schatten-von Neumann operators of order p from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$ is denoted by $\mathscr{I}_p = \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$. We note that $\mathscr{I}_\infty(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ agrees with $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ (also in norms), the set of linear and bounded operators from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$. If $p < \infty$, then $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$, the set of linear and compact operators from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$. The spaces $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ for $p \in (0, \infty]$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ are quasi-Banach spaces which are Banach spaces when $p \geq 1$. Furthermore, $\mathscr{I}_2(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ is a Hilbert space and agrees with the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$ (also in norms). We set $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}) = \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H})$.

The set $\mathscr{I}_1(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ is the set of trace-class operators from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$, and $\| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{I}_1(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)}$ coincide with the trace-norm. If in addition $\mathscr{H}_1 = \mathscr{H}_2 = \mathscr{H}$, then the trace

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}}(T) \equiv \sum_{\alpha} (T f_\alpha, f_\alpha)_{\mathscr{H}}$$

is well-defined and independent of the orthonormal basis $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$ in $\mathscr{H}$.

Now let $\mathscr{H}_3$ be another Hilbert space and let T_k be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathscr{H}_k$ to $\mathscr{H}_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2$. Then we recall that the Hölder relation

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2 \circ T_1\|_{\mathscr{I}_r(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_3)} &\leq \|T_1\|_{\mathscr{I}_{p_1}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)} \|T_2\|_{\mathscr{I}_{p_2}(\mathscr{H}_2, \mathscr{H}_3)} \\ \text{when} \quad & \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{r} \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

(cf. e. g. [29, 38]).

In particular, the map $(T_1, T_2) \mapsto T_2^* \circ T_1$ is continuous from $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2) \times \mathscr{I}_{p'}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ to $\mathscr{I}_1(\mathscr{H}_1)$, giving that

$$(T_1, T_2)_{\mathscr{I}_2(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)} \equiv \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}_1}(T_2^* \circ T_1) \tag{1.18}$$

is well-defined and continuous from $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2) \times \mathscr{I}_{p'}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ to $\mathbf{C}$. If $p = 2$, then the product, defined by (1.18) agrees with the scalar product in $\mathscr{I}_2(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$.

The proof of the following result is omitted, since it can be found in e. g. [2, 29].

Proposition 1.4. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and let T be a linear and continuous map from $\mathscr{H}_1$ to $\mathscr{H}_2$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $q \in [1,p']$, then*

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)} = \sup |(T,T_0)_{\mathscr{I}_2(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)}|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $T_0 \in \mathscr{I}_q(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ such that $\|T_0\|_{\mathscr{I}_{p'}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)} \le 1$;

(2) *if in addition $p < \infty$, then the dual of $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ can be identified through the form* (1.18).

Later on we are especially interested of finding necessary and sufficient conditions of symbols, in order for the corresponding pseudo-differential operators to belong to $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$, where $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ satisfy

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_1'(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Therefore, for such Hilbert spaces and $p \in (0,\infty]$, let

$$s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2) \equiv \{\, a \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \,;\, \operatorname{Op}_A(a) \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2) \,\}$$

and

$$\|a\|_{s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)} \equiv \|\operatorname{Op}_A(a)\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)}. \tag{1.19}$$

Since the map $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ is bijective from $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to the set of all linear and continuous operators from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, when $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from the definitions that the map $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ restricts to a bijective and isometric map from $s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ to $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$.

Usually it is assumed that $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ are tempered in the sense of Definition 3.1 in [38], or more restricted that $\mathscr{H}_j = M^2_{(\omega_j)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, for some $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $j = 1, 2$. For conveniency we therefore set

$$s_{A,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2) \equiv s_{A,p}(M^2_{(\omega_1)}, M^2_{(\omega_2)}).$$

We remark that the reader who is not interested in the most general setting may only consider the case when $\mathscr{H}_j = M^2_{(\omega_j)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, with $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. In this case, the L^2-dual of $\mathscr{H}_j$ is given by $M^2_{(1/\omega_j)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The latter bijectivity implies that Proposition 1.4 carries over to analogous properties for $s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ spaces. In the next section we show that related results can be proved when the $s_{A,2}$ product and $s_{p',A}(\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2)$ can be replaced by the L^2 product and $s_{p',A}(\mathscr{H}_1',\mathscr{H}_2')$ when $\mathscr{H}_j$ are tempered with L^2-duals $\mathscr{H}_j'$, $j = 1, 2$.

2. Algebraic and continuity properties

In this section we deduce basic results for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces, where the corresponding weights belong to $\mathscr{P}_E$. The arguments are in general similar as corresponding results in [33, 36].

The continuity results that we are focused on are especially Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. Here Theorem 2.2 deals with pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces, which act on modulation spaces. Theorem 2.3 gives necessary and sufficient conditions on symbols such that corresponding pseudo-differential operators are Schatten-von Neumann operators of certain degrees.

In Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 we deduce preparatory results on Wigner distributions and pseudo-differential calculus in the context of modulation space theory.

In the last part we deduce composition properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces. Especially we extend certain results in [5, 22].

Let $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$ and let $K \in \mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$. Then K gives rise to a linear and continuous operator $T = T_K$ from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{S}_s'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, defined by the formula

$$Tf(x) = \langle K(x, \cdot\,), f\rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

which should be interpreted as (1.6) when $f \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$.

Before presenting the continuity properties of operators with kernels or symbols in modulation spaces, we present the relations between the involved weight functions. The weights $\omega, \omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ are in general related to each others by the formulae

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)} \lesssim \omega(x,y,\xi,-\eta), \quad x,\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2},\ y,\eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1} \tag{2.2}$$

or

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)} \asymp \omega(x,y,\xi,-\eta), \quad x,\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2},\ y,\eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}, \tag{2.2$'$}$$

and

$$\omega(x,y,\xi,\eta) \asymp \omega_0(x - A(x-y), A^*\xi - (I-A^*)\eta, \xi+\eta, y-x), \quad x,y,\xi,\eta \in \mathbf{R}^d, \tag{2.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$\omega_0(x,\xi,\eta,y) \asymp \omega(x - Ay, x + (I-A)y, \xi + (I-A^*)\eta, -\xi + A^*\eta), \quad x,y,\xi,\eta \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{2.3$'$}$$

We note that (2.2) and (2.3) imply

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)} \lesssim \omega_0(x-A(x-y),A^*\xi+(I-A^*)\eta,\xi-\eta,y-x), \tag{2.4}$$

and that (2.2)′ and (2.3) imply

$$\frac{\omega_2(x,\xi)}{\omega_1(y,\eta)} \asymp \omega_0(x-A(x-y),A^*\xi+(I-A^*)\eta,\xi-\eta,y-x), \tag{2.4}'$$

The Lebesgue exponents of the modulation spaces should satisfy conditions of the form

$$\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{q_2}=1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}, \quad q\le p_2,q_2\le p, \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$p_1\le p\le p_2, \quad q_1\le \min(p,p') \quad \text{and} \quad q_2\ge \max(p,p'). \tag{2.6}$$

The first result is essentially a fundamental kernel theorem for operators in the framework of modulation space theory, and corresponds to Schwartz kernel theorem for. The result goes back to [11] in the unweighted case (see also [17]). The general case follows by combining Theorem A.1 in [38] with Proposition 2.5 below. The details are left for the reader.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\omega_j\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_j})$ for $j=1,2$ and $\omega\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2+2d_1})$ be such that (2.2)′ holds. Also let T be a linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *T extends to a continuous mapping from $M^1_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $M^\infty_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$;*

(2) *there is a unique $K\in M^\infty_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$ such that (2.1) holds for every $f\in\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$;*

(3) *if in addition $d_1=d_2=d$, $A\in\mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and (2.3) holds, then there is a unique $a\in M^\infty_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $Tf=\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f$ when $f\in\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Furthermore, if (1)–(2) are fulfilled, then $\|T\|_{M^1_{(\omega_1)}\to M^\infty_{(\omega_2)}}\asymp\|K\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega)}}$, and if in addition $d_1=d_2$, then $\|K\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega)}}\asymp\|a\|_{M^\infty_{(\omega_0)}}$.

The next two results extend Theorems A.2 and A.3 in [38].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A\in\mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and $p,q,p_j,q_j\in[1,\infty]$ for $j=1,2$, satisfy (2.5). Also let $\omega_0\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d}\oplus\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ satisfy (2.4). If $a\in M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ from $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to a continuous mapping from $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and*

$$\|\operatorname{Op}_A(a)\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}\to M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}}\lesssim\|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, if in addition a belongs to the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}$ under the $M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}$ norm, then $\operatorname{Op}_A(a):M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}\to M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}$ is compact.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and $p,q,p_j,q_j \in [1,\infty]$ for $j=1,2$, satisfy (2.6). Also let $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d}\oplus\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ satisfy (2.4)′. Then*

$$M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \hookrightarrow s_{A,p}(\omega_1,\omega_2) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}).$$

For the proofs we need the following extensions of Propositions 4.1 and 4.8 in [35].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$, and let $p_j,q_j,p,q \in (0,\infty]$ be such that $p \le p_j,q_j \le q$, for $j=1,2$, and*

$$\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}. \tag{2.8}$$

Also let $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d}\oplus\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that

$$\omega_0(x-A(x-y),A^*\xi+(I-A^*)\eta,\xi-\eta,y-x) \lesssim \omega_1(x,\xi)\omega_2(y,\eta). \tag{2.9}$$

Then the map $(f_1,f_2)\mapsto W^A_{f_1,f_2}$ from $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)\times\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ restricts to a continuous mapping from $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)\times M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and

$$\|W^A_{f_1,f_2}\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}}\|f_2\|_{M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}} \tag{2.10}$$

when $f_1,f_2 \in \mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $p \in (0,\infty]$, $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_j})$, $j=1,2$, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2+2d_1})$, and let T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with distribution kernel $K \in \mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if (2.2)′ holds, then $T \in \mathscr{I}_2(M^2_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}),M^2_{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$, if and only if $K \in M^2_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and then*

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_2} \asymp \|K\|_{M^2_{(\omega)}}; \tag{2.11}$$

(2) *if $d_1=d_2=d$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ satisfy (2.3)′, $a \in \mathcal{S}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $K=K_{a,A}$ is given by (1.4), then $K \in M^p_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ if and only if $a \in M^p_{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and*

$$\|K\|_{M^p_{(\omega)}} \asymp \|a\|_{M^p_{(\omega_0)}}.$$

We need some preparations for the proofs. First we note that (2.9) is the same as

$$\omega_0(x,\xi,\eta,y) \lesssim \omega_1(x-Ay,\xi+(I-A^*)\eta)\omega_2(x+(I-A)y,\xi-A^*\eta). \tag{2.9′}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$, $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$ $f,g \in \mathcal{S}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\phi,\psi \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and let $\Phi = W^A_{\phi,\psi}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&(V_\Phi W^A_{f,g})(x,\xi,\eta,y)\\ &= e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}(V_\phi f)(x-Ay,\xi-(A^*-I)\eta)\overline{(V_\psi g)(x-(A-I)y,\xi-A^*\eta)}\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the preceding lemma follows by similar arguments as for Lemma 14.5.1 in [17]. In order to be self-contained, we here present the arguments.

Proof. Again we consider the case when $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, leaving the modifications of the general case to the reader.

Let

$$H(x, y_1, y_2, y_3)$$
$$= f(y_1 + Ay_2)\overline{g(y_1 + By_2)\phi(y_1 - x + Ay_3)}\psi(y_1 - x + By_3),$$

where $B = A - I$. Then Fourier's inversion formula gives

$$(2\pi)^d(V_\Phi W^A_{f,g})(x, \xi, \eta, y)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-d} \iiiint H(x, y_1, y_2, y_3)e^{-i(\langle y_2+y,\eta_1\rangle+\langle y_3,\xi-\eta_1\rangle+\langle y_1,\eta\rangle)}\, dy_1dy_2dy_3d\eta_1$$
$$= e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle} \iint H(x, y_1, y_2, y_2 + y)e^{-i(\langle y_2,\xi\rangle+\langle y_1,\eta\rangle)}\, dy_1dy_2$$
$$= e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle} \iint F(y_1+Ay_2, x-Ay)\overline{G(y_1 + By_2, x - By)}e^{-i(\langle y_2,\xi\rangle+\langle y_1,\eta\rangle)}\, dy_1dy_2,$$

where

$$F(x, y) = f(x)\overline{\phi(x - y)}$$

and

$$G(x, y) = g(x)\overline{\psi(x - y)}.$$

By taking $(y_1 + Ay_2, y_1 + By_2)$ as new variables of integration, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^d(V_\Phi W^A_{f,g})(x, \xi, \eta, y)$$
$$= e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle} \iint F(z_1, x-Ay)\overline{G(z_2, x - By)}e^{-i(\langle z_1-z_2,\xi\rangle+\langle z_1-A(z_1-z_2),\eta\rangle)}\, dz_1dz_2$$
$$= (2\pi)^d e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle} I(x, \xi, \eta, y)J(x, \xi, \eta, y),$$

where

$$I(x, \xi, \eta, y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int f(z)\overline{\phi(z - (x - Ay))}e^{-i\langle z,\xi-B^*\eta\rangle}\, dz$$
$$= V_\phi f)(x - Ay, \xi - B^*\eta)$$

and

$$J(x,\xi,\eta,y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \overline{g(z)}\psi(z-(x-By))e^{i\langle z,\xi-A^*\eta\rangle}\,dz$$

$$= \overline{(V_\psi g)(x-By,\xi-A^*\eta)},$$

and the result follows by combining these equalities. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We only prove the result when $p,q<\infty$. The straight-forward modifications to the cases $p=\infty$ or $q=\infty$ are left for the reader. Let $\phi_1,\phi_2\in\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^d)\setminus 0$, and let $\Phi = W^A_{\phi_1,\phi_2}$. Then Fourier's inversion formula gives

$$(V_\Phi(W^A_{f_1,f_2}))(x,\xi,\eta,y)$$

$$= e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle}F_1(x-Ay,\xi+(I-A^*)\eta)\overline{F_2(x+(I-A)y,\xi-A^*\eta)},$$

where $F_j = V_{\phi_j}f_j$, $j=1,2$. By applying the $L^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$-norm on the latter equality, and using $(2.9)'$, it follows from Minkowski's inequality that

$$\|W^A_{f_1,f_2}\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}} \lesssim \big(\|G_1*G_2\|_{L^r}\big)^{1/p} \le \Big(\int H(\eta)\,d\eta\Big)^{1/q},$$

where $G_j = (F_j\omega_j)^p$, $r=q/p\ge 1$ and

$$H(\eta) = \Big(\int\Big(\int\Big(\int G_1(y-x,\eta-\xi)G_2(x,\xi)\,dx\Big)^r dy\Big)^{1/r} d\xi\Big)^r.$$

Now let $r_j,s_j\in[1,\infty]$ for $j=1,2$ be chosen such that

$$\frac{1}{r_1}+\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{s_1}+\frac{1}{s_2} = 1+\frac{1}{r}.$$

Then Young's inequality gives

$$H(\eta) \le \Big(\int \|G_1(\,\cdot\,,\eta-\xi)\|_{L^{r_1}}\|G_2(\,\cdot\,,\xi)\|_{L^{r_2}}\,d\xi\Big)^r$$

Hence another application of Young's inequality gives

$$\|W^A_{f_1,f_2}\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_0)}} \lesssim \Big(\int H(\eta)\,d\eta\Big)^{1/q} \lesssim \big(\|G_1\|_{L^{r_1,s_1}}\|G_2\|_{L^{r_2,s_2}}\big)^{1/p}$$

By letting $p_j = pr_j$ and $q_j = qs_j$, the last inequality gives (2.10). The proof is complete. □

Proof of Proposition 2.5. We only prove (2), since (1) is a restatement of Proposition A.5 (2) in [38].

Let $\Phi,\Psi\in\mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})\setminus 0$ be such that

$$\Phi(x,y) = (\mathscr{F}_2\Psi)(x-A(x-y),x-y).$$

Then it follows by straight-forward applications of Fourier's inversion formula that

$$|(V_\Phi K_{a,A})(x,y,\xi,\eta)| \asymp |(V_\Psi a)(x-A(x-y),A^*\xi+(A^*-I)\eta,\xi+\eta,y-x)|.$$

The assertion now follows by applying the $L^p_{(\omega)}$ quasi-norm on the last equality, and using the fact that modulation spaces are independent of the choice of window functions in the definition of the modulation space quasi-norm (cf. Propositions 3.1 and 3.4 in [39]). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows from Theorem A.1 in [38], and the equivalence between (2) and (3) follows immediately from Proposition 2.5. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The conditions on p_j and q_j implies that

$$p' \le p_1, q_1, p_2', q_2' \le q', \quad \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2'} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2'} = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'}.$$

Hence Proposition 2.4, and (2.4) show that

$$\|W^A_{g,f}\|_{M^{p',q'}_{(1/\omega)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}} \|g\|_{M^{p_2',q_2'}_{(1/\omega_2)}}$$

when $f \in M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g \in M^{p_2',q_2'}_{(1/\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The continuity is now an immediate consequence of (1.11) and Proposition 1.2 (4), except for the case $p = q' = \infty$, which we need to consider separately.

Therefore assume that $p = \infty$, and $q = 1$, and let $a \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then $p_1 = p_2$ and $q_1 = q_2$, and it follows from Proposition 2.4 and the first part of the proof that $W_{g,f} \in M^{1,\infty}_{(1/\omega_0)}$, and that (2.7) holds. In particular,

$$|(\operatorname{Op}_A(a)f, g))| \lesssim \|f\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}} \|g\|_{M^{p_1',q_1'}_{(1/\omega_2)}},$$

and the result follows when $a \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}$. The result now follows for general $a \in M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega_0)}$, by taking a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j\ge1}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}$, which converges narrowly to a. (For narrow convergence see Theorems 4.15 and 4.19, and Proposition 4.16 in [37]).

It remains to prove that if a belongs to the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}$ under $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}$ norm, then $\operatorname{Op}_A(a) : M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)} \to M^{p_2,q_2}_{(\omega_2)}$ is compact. As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, it follows that $\operatorname{Op}_A(a_0)$ is compact when $a_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}$, since $\mathcal{S}_{1/2} \hookrightarrow M^1_{(\omega_0)}$ when $\omega_0 \in \mathscr{P}_E$, and that every trace-class operator is compact. The compactness of $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ now follows by approximating a with elements in $\mathcal{S}_{1/2}$. The proof is complete. □

For the proof of Theorem 2.3 we need the following extension of Theorem 4.12 in [38].

Proposition 2.7. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and that $\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2$ are tempered Hilbert spaces on $\mathbf{R}^d$. Then the L^2 form on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a duality between $s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ and $s_{A,p'}(\mathscr{H}_1', \mathscr{H}_2')$, and the dual space for $s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$ can be identified with $s_{A,p'}(\mathscr{H}_1', \mathscr{H}_2')$*

through this form. Moreover, if $\ell \in s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)^*$ *and* $a \in s_{A,p'}(\mathscr{H}_1', \mathscr{H}_2')$ *are such that* $\overline{\ell(b)} = (a, b)_{L^2}$ *when* $b \in s_{A,p}(\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{H}_2)$*, then*

$$\|\ell\| = \|a\|_{s_{A,p'}(\mathscr{H}_1', \mathscr{H}_2')}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.12 in the case $A = 0$. For general A, the result now follows from Proposition 1.1 and the fact that $e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle}$ is unitary on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The first inclusion in

$$M^{\infty,1}_{(\omega_0)} \hookrightarrow s_{A,\infty}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \hookrightarrow M^{\infty}_{(\omega_0)}$$

follows from Theorem 2.2, and the second one from Proposition 1.2 (2) and Theorem 2.1.

By Propositions 1.2 (3), 1.4 and 2.7, (1.19), and duality, the latter inclusions give

$$M^{1}_{(\omega_0)} \hookrightarrow s_{A,1}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \hookrightarrow M^{1,\infty}_{(\omega_0)},$$

and we have proved the result when $p = 1$ and when $p = \infty$. Furthermore, by Proposition 2.5 we have $M^2_{(\omega_0)} = s_{A,2}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, and the result also holds in the case $p = 2$. The result now follows for general p from these cases and interpolation. (See e. g. Proposition 5.8 in [37].) The proof is complete. □

The next result shows that the operator $e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle}$ is bijective between suitable modulation spaces. (See also [32, 36, 38] for similar results in restricted cases.)

Proposition 2.8. *Let* $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, $\phi, a \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ *and let* $T_A = e^{i\langle AD_\xi, D_x\rangle}$. *Then*

$$(V_{T_A\phi}(T_A a))(x, \xi, \eta, y) = e^{i\langle Ay, \eta\rangle}(V_\phi a)(x + Ay, \xi + A^*\eta, \eta, y). \quad (2.12)$$

Furthermore, if $\omega \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$ *and*

$$\omega_A(x, \xi, \eta, y) = \omega(x + Ay, \xi + A^*\eta, \eta, y),$$

then T_A *from* $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ *to* $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ *extends uniquely to a homeomorphism from* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ *to* $M^{p,q}_{(\omega_A)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$*, and*

$$\|T_A a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega_A)}} \asymp \|a\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. The formula (2.12) follows by a straight-forward application of Fourier's inversion formula (cf. [33, Proposition 1.5] and its proof). The estimate (2.13) then follows by first choosing ϕ in $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \setminus 0$ in (2.12), then multiplying this equation by ω_A and thereafter applying the mixed $L^{p,q}$ quasi-norm. This gives the result. □

2.1. **Composition properties.** Let $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and $a_1,\dots,a_N \in \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then the N-linear product

$$(a_1,\dots,a_N) \mapsto a_1\#_A\cdots\#_A a_N \tag{2.14}$$

is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{Op}_A(a_1)\circ\cdots\circ\operatorname{Op}_A(a_N) = \operatorname{Op}_A(a_1\#_A\cdots\#_A a_N).$$

The N-linear product (2.14) extends in different ways. Here below we give examples on extensions in the framework of modulation space theory.

By a straight-forward application of Proposition 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a_1\#_A\cdots\#_A a_N \\ &\quad = T_{A-B}^{-1}\big((T_{A-B}a_1)\#_B\cdots\#_B(T_{A-B}a_N)\big), \quad T_A \equiv e^{i\langle AD_\xi,D_x\rangle}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for $A,B\in\mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$ and suitable $a_1,\dots,a_N$.

We have now the following results on compositions. Here it is assumed that the weight functions should obey

$$1 \lesssim \omega_0(T_A(X_N,X_0))\prod_{j=1}^N \omega_j(T_A(X_j,X_{j-1})), \quad X_0,\dots,X_N \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_A(X,Y) &= (y+A(x-y),\xi+A^*(\eta-\xi),\eta-\xi,x-y), \\ X=(x,\xi)\in\mathbf{R}^{2d},\ Y&=(y,\eta)\in\mathbf{R}^{2d}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

As in [7] we also let $\mathsf{R}_N(\boldsymbol{p})$ with $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_0,\dots,p_N)\in[1,\infty]^{N+1}$ be the Hölder-Young functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{R}_N(\boldsymbol{p}) &= (N-1)^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{p_j}-1\right), \\ \boldsymbol{p}&=(p_0,p_1,\dots,p_N)\in[1,\infty]^{N+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $s\ge\frac{1}{2}$, $A\in\mathbf{M}(d,\mathbf{R})$, $p_j,q_j\in[1,\infty]$, $j=0,1,\dots,N$, and suppose*

$$\max\left(\mathsf{R}_N(\boldsymbol{q}'),0\right) \le \min_{j=0,1,\dots,N}\left(\frac{1}{p_j},\frac{1}{q_j'},\mathsf{R}_N(\boldsymbol{p})\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Let $\omega_j\in\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$, $j=0,1,\dots,N$, and suppose (2.16) holds. Then the map (2.14) from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})\times\cdots\times\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a continuous and associative map from $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})\times\cdots\times M^{p_N,q_N}_{(\omega_N)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $M^{p_0',q_0'}_{(1/\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(d, \mathbf{R})$, $p_j, q_j \in [1, \infty]$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, and suppose*

$$\mathsf{R}_N(\boldsymbol{p}) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q_j'} \leq \frac{1}{p_j} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.20}$$

Let $\omega_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbf{R}^{4d})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, and suppose (2.16) holds. Then the map (2.14) from $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ extends uniquely to a continuous and associative map from $M^{p_1,q_1}_{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times \cdots \times M^{p_N,q_N}_{(\omega_N)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ to $M^{p_0',q_0'}_{(1/\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

Proof of Theorems 2.9 and 2.10. The result follows immediately from Theorems 0.1′ and 2.9 in [7] in the Weyl case, $A = \frac{1}{2}I$. For general A the result now follows by from the Weyl case and straightforward applications of (2.15) and Proposition 2.8. □

3. An idea of quantization

In this section we make a suitable average of $\operatorname{Op}_A(a)$ with respect to the matrix A to deduce certain types of operators, related to the symbols a, and which might be of interests in quantizations.

We recall that a quantization is a rule which takes an observable $a(x, \xi)$ in classical mechanics to the corresponding observable $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ in quantum mechanics. Usually, a is a suitable function or distribution defined on the phase space $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, and $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is an operator which acts on suitable dense subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

A common quantization is the Weyl quantization, $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}^w(a)$, explained earlier. Another quantization rule is the Born-Jordan quantization, $a \mapsto \operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a)$, where

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathrm{BJ}}(a) = \int_0^1 \operatorname{Op}_t(a)\, dt = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \operatorname{Op}_{(t+\frac{1}{2})\cdot I}(a)\, dt, \tag{3.1}$$

provided the right-hand side makes sense. By straight-forward computations it follows that

$$\operatorname{Op}_{BJ}(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(\Phi * a), \qquad \Phi(x, \xi) = \operatorname{sinc}(\langle x, \xi \rangle / 2).$$

We shall now consider other candidates of quantization, where the average on the right-hand side in (3.1) over all matrices $t \cdot I$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is replaced by averages over all $r \cdot U$, $U \in \mathrm{UN}(d, \mathbf{R})$, and r is fixed or taken over certain interval $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}_+$. Here $\mathrm{UN}(d, \mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{UN}_d$ is the set of all $d \times d$ orthonormal matrices with entries in $\mathbf{R}$.

More precisely, for fixed $r \geq 0$, we let

$$\operatorname{Op}_{r,\mathrm{UN}}(a) \equiv \left(\int_{U\in \mathrm{UN}_d} dU\right)^{-1} \int_{U\in \mathrm{UN}_d} \operatorname{Op}_{rU+\frac{1}{2}I}(a)\, dU,$$

$$\operatorname{Op}^0_{r,\mathrm{UN}}(a) \equiv r^{-1}\int_0^r \operatorname{Op}_{t,\mathrm{UN}}(a)\, dt,$$

$$\psi_d(\rho) \equiv \begin{cases} (-i)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})\cdot \dfrac{J_{(d-2)/2}(i\rho)}{\rho^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}, & d>1 \\ \cosh(\rho), & d=1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{0,d}(r) \equiv \int_0^r \psi_d(t)\, dt$$

where J_ν is the Bessel function of order $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$.

By straight-forward computations it follows that

$$\operatorname{Op}_{r,\mathrm{UN}}(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(a * \Psi_r), \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi_r(x,\xi) = \psi_d(r|x|\,|\xi|),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Op}^0_{r,\mathrm{UN}}(a) = \operatorname{Op}^w(a * \Psi^0_r), \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi^0_r(x,\xi) = \frac{\psi_{0,d}(r|x|\,|\xi|)}{r|x|\,|\xi|}.$$

References

[1] D. Bayer *Bilinear time-frequency distributions and pseudodifferential operators, Thesis*, Universität Wien, Wien, 2010.

[2] Birman, Solomyak *Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators (Russian)*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **32**, (1977), 17–84.

[3] M. Cappiello, J. Toft *Pseudo-differential operators in a Gelfand-Shilov setting*, arxiv.

[4] E. Cordero *Gelfand-Shilov window classes for weighted modulation spaces*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), 829–837.

[5] E. Cordero, J. Toft, P. Wahlberg *Our algebra paper*

[6] E. Cordero, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino, N. Teofanov *Quasianalytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces with applications to localization operators*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **40** (2010), 1123-1147.

[7] E. Cordero, J. Toft, P. Wahlberg *Sharp results for the Weyl product on modulation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 3016–3057.

[8] M. de Gosson *Symplectic covariance properties for Shubin and Born-Jordan pseudo-differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[9] M. de Gosson, F. Luef *Preferred Quantization Rules: Born–Jordan vs. Weyl; Applications to Phase Space Quantization*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **2** (2011), 115–139.

[10] H. G. Feichtinger *Banach convolution algebras of Wiener's type,* in: Proc. Functions, Series, Operators in Budapest, Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam Oxford NewYork, 1980.

[11] H. G. Feichtinger *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups. Technical report*, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983; also in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) Wavelets and their applications, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDelhi Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderbad Lucknow, 2003, pp.99–140.

[12] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal. **86** (1989), 307–340.

[13] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II*, Monatsh. Math. **108** (1989), 129–148.

[14] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Gabor frames and time-frequency analysis of distributions*, J. Functional Anal. (2) **146** (1997), 464–495.

[15] Y. Galperin, S. Samarah *Paper on quasi-Banach Gabor frames*

[16] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov *Generalized functions, I–III*, Academic Press, NewYork London, 1968.

[17] K. H. Gröchenig *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[18] K. Gröchenig *Weight functions in time-frequency analysis* in: L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds) Pseudodifferential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis, Fields Institute Comm., **52** 2007, pp. 343–366.

[19] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces and pseudo-differential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (4) **34** (1999), 439–457.

[20] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces as symbol classes for pseudodifferential operators* in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) Wavelets and their applications, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDelhi Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderbad Lucknow, 2003, pp. 151–170.

[21] K. Gröchenig and G. Zimmermann *Spaces of test functions via the STFT*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **2** (2004), 25–53.

[22] A. Holst, J. Toft, P. Wahlberg *Weyl product algebras and modulation spaces*, J. Funct Anal. **251** (2007), 463–491.

[23] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.

[24] H. Komatsu *Ultradistributions. I*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20** (1973), 25–205.

[25] Z. Lozanov-Crvenković, D. Perišić, M. Tasković *Gelfand-Shilov spaces structural and kernel theorems*, (preprint), arXiv:0706.2268v2.

[26] S. Pilipović *Tempered ultradistributions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **2** (1988), 235–251

[27] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov *Wilson Bases and Ultramodulation Spaces*, Math. Nachr. **242** (2002), 179–196.

[28] M. A. Shubin *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[29] B. Simon *Trace ideals and their applications*, I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge London New York Melbourne, 1979.

[30] J. Sjöstrand *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Res. L. **1** (1994), 185–192.

[31] N. Teofanov *Modulation spaces, Gelfand-Shilov spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process, **5** (2006), 225–242.

[32] J. Toft *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I*, J. Funct. Anal. (2), **207** (2004), 399–429.

[33] J. Toft *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, II*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **26** (2004), 73–106.

[34] J. Toft *Convolution and embeddings for weighted modulation spaces* in: P. Boggiatto, R. Ashino, M. W. Wong (Eds) *Advances in Pseudo-Differential Operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **155**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2004, pp. 165–186.

[35] J. Toft *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (eds) *Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, 173–206.

[36] J. Toft *Continuity and Schatten properties for Toeplitz operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (eds) *Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, pp. 313–328.

[37] J. Toft *The Bargmann transform on modulation and Gelfand-Shilov spaces, with applications to Toeplitz and pseudo-differential operators*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., (appeared online).

[38] J. Toft *Multiplication properties in Gelfand-Shilov pseudo-differential calculus* in: S. Molahajloo, S. Pilipović, J. Toft, M. W. Wong (eds) *Pseudo-Differential Operators, Generalized Functions and Asymptotics,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **231**, Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg NewYork Dordrecht London, 2013, pp. 117–172.

[39] J. Toft *Gabor analysis for a broad class of quasi-Banach modulation spaces* in: S. Pilipović, J. Toft (eds)*, Pseudo-differential operators, generalized functions*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **245**, Birkhäuser, 2015, 249–278.

[40] G. Tranquilli *Global normal forms and global properties in function spaces for second order Shubin type operators* PhD Thesis, 2013.

Department of Mathematics, Linnæus University, Sweden
E-mail address: joachim.toft@lnu.se